Second-Party Opinion

Council of Europe Development Bank
Social Inclusion Bond Framework

Evaluation Summary

Sustainalytics is of the opinion that the Council of Europe Development Bank ("CEB") Social Inclusion Bond Framework aligns with the four core components of the Social Bond Principles 2018. This assessment is based on the following:

**USE OF PROCEEDS** The eligible categories for the use of proceeds (i) Social Housing for Low-Income Persons, (ii) Education and Vocational Training, (iii) Supporting Micro, Small & Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) for the Creation and Preservation of Viable Jobs and (iv) Health are aligned with those recognized by the Social Bond Principles and aim to achieve positive socio-economic outcomes. Sustainalytics considers that the projects financed will lead to positive social impacts and advance the UN Sustainable Development Goals, in particular, 3, 4, 8 and 11.

**PROJECT EVALUATION / SELECTION** CEB’s internal process in evaluating and selecting projects is carried out in conformity with the political and social aims of the Council of Europe. Borrower’s are responsible for submitting loan applications for potential projects, which are then screened for compliance with the eligibility criteria before approval. Sustainalytics considers the project selection process to be in line with market practice.

**MANAGEMENT OF PROCEEDS** CEB’s processes for management of proceeds are handled by the Finance department. Proceeds will be placed in CEB’s treasury pending allocation and further allocated to existing or new loans. As long as any proceeds remain unallocated, they will be managed in line with the standard processes of CEB’s treasury until full allocation. Proceeds will be fully allocated within 24 months and may finance projects/activities with a maximum lookback period of 24 months. This is in line with market practice.

**REPORTING** CEB intends to report on the allocation of proceeds through the publication of a Social Inclusion Bond Report on its website on an annual basis until full allocation. Allocation reporting will include a summary of the allocation of proceeds per sector of action and per year of disbursement and, for each sector of action, the distribution of the proceeds by year of disbursement and per country. An independent auditor will review CEB’s allocation of proceeds, which is considered market best practice. In addition, CEB is committed to reporting on relevant impact metrics. Sustainalytics views CEB’s allocation and impact reporting as aligned with market practice.
Introduction

Council of Europe Development Bank ("CEB" or the "Bank") is a multilateral development bank with an exclusively social mandate. Through the provision of financing and technical expertise for projects with a high social impact in its 41 Member States, it actively promotes social cohesion and strengthens social integration in Europe.

CEB has developed the Council of Europe Development Bank Social Inclusion Bond Framework (the "Framework") under which it intends to issue multiple social bonds and use the proceeds to finance and/or refinance, in whole or in part, existing and/or future projects that aim to provide positive social impact in the following four areas:

1. Social Housing for Low-Income Persons
2. Education and Vocational Training
3. Supporting MSMEs for the Creation and Preservation of Viable Jobs
4. Health

CEB engaged Sustainalytics to review the Council of Europe Development Bank Social Inclusion Bond Framework, dated April 2020, and provide a second-party opinion on the Framework’s social credentials and its alignment with the Social Bond Principles 2018 (SBP).¹ This Framework has been published in a separate document.²

As part of this engagement, Sustainalytics held conversations with various members of CEB’s management team to understand the sustainability impact of their business processes and planned use of proceeds, as well as management of proceeds and reporting aspects of the Framework. Sustainalytics also reviewed relevant public documents and non-public information.

This document contains Sustainalytics’ opinion on the Council of Europe Development Bank Social Inclusion Bond Framework and should be read in conjunction with that Framework.

¹ The Social Bond Principles are administered by the International Capital Market Association and are available at https://www.icmagroup.org/green-social-and-sustainability-bonds/social-bond-principles-sbp/.
² The Council of Europe Development Bank Social Inclusion Bond Framework is available on Council of Europe Development Bank’s website at https://coebank.org/en/investor-relations/funding/.
Sustainalytics’ Opinion

Section 1: Sustainalytics’ Opinion on the Council of Europe Development Bank Social Inclusion Bond Framework

Sustainalytics is of the opinion that the Council of Europe Development Bank Social Inclusion Bond Framework aligns with the four core components of the SBP 2018. Sustainalytics highlights the following elements of the Framework:

- **Use of Proceeds:**
  - The eligible categories, Social Housing for Low-Income Persons, Education and Vocational Training, Supporting MSMEs for the Creation and Preservation of Viable Jobs and Health, are aligned with those recognized by the SBP 2018. The projects and activities funded through this Framework may be located in any of the 41 CEB Member States.3
  - Social housing for low-income persons will include construction, refurbishment/renovation and conversion of buildings into low-income housing, corresponding to social housing criteria defined by the relevant national legislation. In the absence of satisfactory regulations, the CEB’s criteria as presented in the process for project evaluation and selection apply as well as the criteria for social housing for low-income persons, as defined in section 3.1.1 of the Bank’s Framework. Sustainalytics views the development of affordable housing and the targeting of low-income and/or underserved populations as contributing to a positive social impact and notes the strong criteria outlined in the Framework.
  - Education and vocational training may include projects which provide educational and vocational opportunities, as well as the development of basic infrastructure. Projects and activities may range from financing programmes to train unemployed and disadvantaged populations to the construction and/or rehabilitation of education facilities. In order for a private institution to be considered eligible within this category it must be state approved with recognition of diplomas at a national level to ensure that access and affordability are maintained. Sustainalytics positively views investments into education infrastructure and vocational training and acknowledges CEB’s commitment to ensuring affordable access to educational/vocational training for citizens of CEB Member Countries.
  - MSME financing is intended to create and preserve jobs within the MSME4 sector, which is the dominant employment sector across CEB Member Countries. CEB gives priority to MSME initiatives which are supported by Member State government agencies. In order to be eligible for potential funding, borrowers will need to demonstrate a clear commitment to positive social impact and an ability to on-lend to the targeted MSMEs that are commercially sound and economically viable. CEB prioritizes support for smaller MSMEs and those with limited, less favorable, or no access to credit. Sustainalytics recognizes the broad importance of MSME financing and acknowledges CEB’s commitment to ensuring affordable access to educational/vocational training.
  - Health financing will be aimed at projects related to healthcare infrastructure that aim to benefit the general public in both public and private, state-approved establishments, which must ensure universal access to healthcare for all patients. Some examples of specific activities that may be carried out include the construction, renovation and refurbishment of hospitals and healthcare infrastructure as well the improvement of facilities for the elderly and disabled and the purchase of modern medical equipment. A portion of these proceeds can be aimed at the mobilization of additional expertise and support to address pandemic situations. CEB has established a lookback period of 24 months for refinancing.

---

3 Albania, Belgium, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Georgia, Germany, Greece, Holy See, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Kosovo, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Montenegro, Netherlands, North Macedonia, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of moldova, Romania, San Marino, Serbia, Slovak Republic, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and Turkey.

4 CEB uses the same definition of MSMEs as the European Union (EU Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC), which may be updated from time to time. Article 2(1) of the Annex to Recommendation 2003/361/EC says the following: "The category of micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) is made up of enterprises which employ fewer than 250 persons and which have an annual turnover not exceeding 50 million euro, and/or an annual balance sheet total not exceeding 43 million euro."
• Project Evaluation and Selection:
  – CEB’s internal process in evaluating and selecting projects is carried out in conformity with the political and social aims of the Council of Europe. The Administrative Council approves the projects, based upon a technical and financial opinion of the Governor and an admissibility opinion of the Secretary General of the Council of Europe with respect to the conformity of the project with the aims of the Council of Europe. Loans are granted according to specific technical and social development criteria outlined in the Framework and in strict conformity with environmental, procurement and compliance guidelines and policies. CEB is committed to ensuring the quality and social impact of the projects through its active involvement during the entire project cycle. Based on these elements, Sustainalytics considers this process to be in line with market practice.

• Management of Proceeds:
  – CEB’s processes for the management of proceeds are handled by the Finance department. Proceeds will be placed in CEB’s treasury pending allocation and allocated to existing or new loans. CEB will manage the proceeds by applying a notional equivalence approach between the Social Inclusion Bond proceeds and the Eligible Social Loans financed by the relevant Social Inclusion Bond. As long as any proceeds have not been allocated, they will be managed in line with the standard processes of CEB’s treasury until full allocation. CEB intends to fully allocate proceeds within 24 months. Based on these elements, Sustainalytics considers this process to be in line with market practice.

• Reporting:
  – CEB intends to report on the allocation of proceeds through the publication of a Social Inclusion Bond Report, on its website, on an annual basis until full allocation. Allocation reporting will include a summary of the allocation of proceeds per sector of action and per year of disbursement and, for each sector of action, the distribution of the proceeds by year of disbursement and per country. An independent auditor will review CEB’s allocation of proceeds, which is considered market best practice. In addition, CEB is committed to reporting on relevant impact metrics, such as number of jobs created or preserved, number of healthcare facilities upgraded, number of patients served etc. For an exhaustive list of social impact indicators, please refer to Appendix 1: Social Bond/ Social Bond Programme External Review Form. Based on these elements, Sustainalytics considers this process to be in line with market practice.

Alignment with Social Bond Principles 2018
Sustainalytics has determined that the Council of Europe Development Bank Social Inclusion Bond Framework aligns to the four core components of the SBP 2018. For detailed information please refer to Appendix 1: Social Bond/Social Bond Programme External Review Form.

Section 2: Sustainability Strategy of CEB

Contribution of Framework to Council of Europe Development Bank’s sustainability strategy
As Europe’s largest social development bank, CEB plays a pivotal role in financing social projects, responding to emergency situations, and contributing to improved livelihoods of disadvantaged population groups. The CEB fulfills its mission through a strategic framework, a multi-annual Development Plan, the current version covers the period 2020 – 2022. The Development Plan sets out sectorial lines of action as approved by the CEB’s Administrative Council and confirmed by its Governing Board, and includes the following:

• Inclusive growth: working to guarantee access to economic opportunities and ensure a prosperous future for all,
• Support to vulnerable groups: helping to integrate the most vulnerable citizens and nurture a more diverse society,
• Environmental sustainability: supporting a liveable society that promotes environmental sustainability, mitigates, and adapts to climate change.

The activities that will be financed through under the Framework will primarily contribute to the first two sectorial lines of action described above, inclusive growth and support to vulnerable groups. Furthermore, it is worth noting that, outside the activities being funded under the Framework, the CEB actively pursues environmental sustainability as well, but none of the projects financed under the Framework are specifically

relevant to that category. With that said, Sustainalytics is of the opinion that the activities and projects financed under the Framework will contribute to the implementation of CEB's Development Plan and contribute to positive social impact.

**Well positioned to address common social and environmental risks associated with the projects**

While Sustainalytics recognizes that the net proceeds from the bonds issued under the Framework will be directed towards eligible projects that are recognized by the SBP, Sustainalytics is aware that such eligible projects could also lead to negative environmental and social impacts. Some key risks associated with the eligible projects could include land-use change, stakeholder engagement and worker health and safety.

As a European financial institution, Sustainalytics recognizes that the financing of projects and activities carried out under this Framework will not be directly implemented by CEB, but rather projects and activities will be implemented by different authorities/entities in different Member States. However, CEB has implemented risk management policies and procedures in relation to its lending portfolio. For example, CEB requires borrowers (and these to require their sub-contractors) to comply with relevant CEB policies and legislation (e.g. with respect to procurement, environmental and social safeguards, human rights and integrity). Additionally, the Bank has thus developed a Risk Management Framework to ensure consistency with international best practices for risk policies for identifying, assessing, reporting, monitoring and managing risk exposure. The Risk Management Framework is underpinned by the following principles:

- To develop and foster a sound risk culture across the whole organization;
- To establish a risk appetite as a strategic decision tool for monitoring the Bank’s risk profile;
- To strive to implement all relevant best banking practices;
- To identify, understand and assess all material risks inherent to the Bank's activities, products, funding sources and transactions on an ongoing basis.

Based on the above, Sustainalytics is of the opinion that CEB has adequate risk management policies in place and is well-positioned to manage and mitigate environmental and social risks commonly associated with the eligible categories.

**Section 3: Impact of Use of Proceeds**

All four use of proceeds categories are aligned with those recognized by the SBP. Sustainalytics has focused on two below where the impact is specifically relevant.

**Strengthening Health Sector Resilience in Pandemic Situation**

The Charter of Fundamental Right of the European Union acknowledges the universal right of access to preventive health care and the right to benefit from medical treatment. However, healthcare differences between and within the COE Member States continue to undermine equal and universal access. For example, in 2017, the number of physicians per hundred thousand inhabitants ranged from 186.8 in Turkey to 606.6 in Greece. In addition, in 2017, the full-time equivalents nursing professionals and midwives per hundred thousand inhabitants varied from 54.8 in Romania to 815.3 in Norway. By financing the construction, renovation and modernization of healthcare infrastructure, such as hospitals and neighborhood healthcare centers, CEB can help Member States increase their capacity and access to affordable, quality healthcare.

---

8 Council of Europe, “Health”, at: https://www.coe.int/en/web/compass/health
9 Physicians include general practitioners, other generalist medical practitioners, general pediatricians, gynecologists and obstetricians, psychiatrists, medical group of specialists and other specialists not elsewhere classified.
Furthermore, by providing financing for emergency medical equipment, the mobilization of additional expertise, and the rehabilitation and transformation of healthcare spaces, CEB can help reduce equipment shortages and improve CEB Member States’ ability to respond to pandemic situations. For example, the pandemic situation following the spread of the coronavirus disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) is putting the CEB Member States’ healthcare systems under pressure. Member States’ capacity to effectively respond to this pandemic has varied significantly, demonstrating the need for increased resilience and improved health systems. In the European Economic Area (“EEA”), with the current mitigation measures, the risk of exceeding health and social systems’ capacity in the following weeks is considered high. Several key areas are currently experiencing constraints or shortages, such as ventilator availability, personal protective equipment, sampling and laboratory materials affecting diagnostic capacity and shortages of space due to increased needs for triage and isolation of suspected cases. In addition, CEB’s commitment to provide assistance to vulnerable populations, such as the elderly, is pertinent due to the nature of the COVID-19 which has a significantly higher mortality rate for elderly patients.

Based on the above, Sustainalytics is of the opinion that CEB’s financing of healthcare and related infrastructure will provide positive social impact by increasing access to healthcare and by improving Member States’ capacity to respond to healthcare crises.

Supporting MSMEs to Preserve and Create Employment

MSMEs represent 99% of all businesses in the EU and provide two-thirds of the total private sector employment, highlighting their contribution to economic growth and employment in the COE Member States. By providing access to finance for MSMEs, such as through direct lending and on-lending instruments included, CEB’s lending can generate several positive effects for MSMEs. For example, the EU’s credit guarantees for MSMEs from 2002 to 2016 enabled beneficiaries to grow more rapidly than non-beneficiaries in terms of assets, sales and employment. Additionally, regarding employment levels, the impact on growth was estimated to be between 8 to 30%, further highlighting the importance of MSME’s role in providing stable employment.

To further contextualize the importance of MSME financing and job preservation, the COVID-19 pandemic has seriously disturbed CEB Member States’ economies, demonstrating the importance of businesses’ resilience. For instance, in Norway, unemployment rate surged from 2.3% to 10.4% in a month. It has been estimated that for each month of strict containment measures, GDP may decline by 2 percentage points. Also, before the COVID-19 outbreak, a significant share of MSMEs in the Eurozone were already at risk. This situation expalcs the fragility of the economy and the need for resilient businesses that can sustain through financial hardships. As such, Sustainalytics is of the opinion that the above-mentioned credit facilities provided by CEB could further contribute to the growth of MSME’s and the preservation of employment.

Alignment with/contribution to SDGs

The United Nations’ Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) were set in September 2015 and form an agenda for achieving sustainable development by the year 2030. The bonds issued by CEB under the Framework advance the following SDG goals and targets:

---

12 The European Economic Area (“EEA”) is comprised of the 27 EU member states and three European Free Trade Association (EFTA) states (Iceland, Liechtenstein, and Norway).
Use of Proceeds Category | SDG | SDG target
---|---|---
Social Housing for Low-Income Persons | 11. Sustainable Cities and Communities | 11.1 By 2030, ensure access for all to adequate, safe and affordable housing and basic services and upgrade slums
Education and Vocational Training | 4. Quality Education | 4.3 By 2030, ensure equal access for all women and men to affordable and quality technical, vocational and tertiary education, including university
Supporting MSMEs for the Creation and Preservation of Viable Jobs | 8. Decent Work and Economic Growth | 8.3 Promote development-oriented policies that support productive activities, decent job creation, entrepreneurship, creativity and innovation, and encourage the formalization and growth of micro-, small- and medium-sized enterprises, including through access to financial services
Health | 3. Good Health & Well-Being | 3.8 Achieve universal health coverage, including financial risk protection, access to quality essential health-care services and access to safe, effective, quality and affordable essential medicines and vaccines for all
| | 3.D Strengthen the capacity of all countries, in particular developing countries, for early warning, risk reduction and management of national and global health risks

Conclusion

CEB has developed the Council of Europe Development Bank Social Inclusion Bond Framework under which it will issue social bonds and use the proceeds to finance and refinance social housing, education, MSMEs support and health sector related expenditures in the CEB’s Member States. Sustainalytics considers that the projects funded by the social bond proceeds will provide positive social impact, such as assisting Member States in tackling the adverse health crises and preserving employment.

The Council of Europe Development Bank Social Inclusion Bond Framework outlines a process by which proceeds will be tracked, allocated, and managed, and commitments have been made for reporting on the allocation and impact of the use of proceeds. Furthermore, Sustainalytics believes that the Council of Europe Development Bank Social Inclusion Bond Framework is aligned with the overall sustainability strategy of the Bank and that the social use of proceeds categories will contribute to the advancement of the UN Sustainable Development Goals 3, 4, 8 and 11. Additionally, Sustainalytics is of the opinion that CEB has implemented adequate measures to identify, manage and mitigate environmental and social risks commonly associated with the eligible projects funded by the use of proceeds.

Based on the above, Sustainalytics is confident that Council of Europe Development Bank is well-positioned to issue social bonds and that the Council of Europe Development Bank Social Inclusion Bond Framework is robust, transparent, and in alignment with the core components of the Social Bond Principles 2018.
Appendices

Appendix 1: Social Bond/Social Bond Programme External Review Form

Section 1. Basic Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issuer name:</th>
<th>Council of Europe Development Bank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social Bond ISIN or Issuer Social Bond Framework Name, if applicable:</td>
<td>[specify as appropriate]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council of Europe Development Bank Social Inclusion Bond Framework</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review provider’s name:</td>
<td>Sustainalytics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completion date of this form:</td>
<td>April 22, 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 2. Review overview

SCOPE OF REVIEW
The following may be used or adapted, where appropriate, to summarize the scope of the review.

The review assessed the following elements and confirmed their alignment with the SBPs:

☑ Use of Proceeds ☐ Process for Project Evaluation and Selection

☑ Management of Proceeds ☐ Reporting

ROLE(S) OF REVIEW PROVIDER

☑ Consultancy (incl. 2nd opinion) ☐ Certification

☐ Verification ☐ Rating

☐ Other (please specify):

Note: In case of multiple reviews / different providers, please provide separate forms for each review.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF REVIEW and/or LINK TO FULL REVIEW (if applicable)

Please refer to Evaluation Summary above.
Section 3. Detailed review

Reviewers are encouraged to provide the information below to the extent possible and use the comment section to explain the scope of their review.

1. USE OF PROCEEDS

Overall comment on section *(if applicable)*:

The eligible categories for the use of proceeds (i) Social Housing for Low-Income Persons, (ii) Education and Vocational Training, (iii) Supporting MSMEs for the Creation and Preservation of Viable Jobs and (iv) Health are aligned with those recognized by the Social Bond Principles that seek to achieve positive socio-economic outcomes for target populations. Sustainalytics considers that the projects financed in the eligible categories will lead to positive social impacts and advance the UN Sustainable Development Goals, in particular SDGs 3, 4, 8 and 11.

Use of proceeds categories as per SBP:

- ☒ Affordable Basic Infrastructure Services
- ☒ Access to essential services
- ☒ Employment Generation & Improved Livelihoods (through SME financing and microfinance)
- ☐ Affordable housing
- ☒ Socioeconomic advancement and empowerment
- ☐ Food security
- ☐ Unknown at issuance but currently expected to conform with SBP categories, or other eligible areas not yet stated in SBPs
- ☐ Other *(please specify)*:

If applicable please specify the social taxonomy, if other than SBPs:

2. PROCESS FOR PROJECT EVALUATION AND SELECTION

Overall comment on section *(if applicable)*:

CEB’s internal process in evaluating and selecting projects is carried out in conformity with the political and social aims of the Council of Europe. Borrowers are responsible for submitting loan applications for potential projects, which are then screened for compliance with the eligibility criteria before approval. Sustainalytics considers the project selection process to be in line with market practice.

Evaluation and selection:

- ☒ Credentials on the issuer’s social objectives
- ☒ Defined and transparent criteria for projects eligible for Social Bond proceeds
- ☒ Documented process to determine that projects fit within defined categories
- ☒ Documented process to identify and manage potential ESG risks associated with the project
- ☐ Summary criteria for project evaluation and selection publicly available
- ☐ Other *(please specify)*:
Information on Responsibilities and Accountability

☐ Evaluation / Selection criteria subject to external advice or verification  ☐ In-house assessment
☐ Other (please specify):

3. MANAGEMENT OF PROCEEDS

Overall comment on section (if applicable):

CEB’s processes for management of proceeds are handled by the Finance department. Proceeds will be placed in CEB’s treasury pending allocation and further allocated to existing or new loans. As long as any proceeds remain unallocated, they will be managed in line with the standard processes of CEB’s treasury until full allocation. Proceeds will be fully allocated within 24 months and may finance projects/activities with a maximum lookback period of 24 months. This is in line with market practice.

Tracking of proceeds:

☒ Social Bond proceeds segregated or tracked by the issuer in an appropriate manner
☐ Disclosure of intended types of temporary investment instruments for unallocated proceeds
☐ Other (please specify):

Additional disclosure:

☐ Allocations to future investments only  ☒ Allocations to both existing and future investments
☐ Allocation to individual disbursements  ☐ Allocation to a portfolio of disbursements
☐ Disclosure of portfolio balance of unallocated proceeds  ☐ Other (please specify):

4. REPORTING

Overall comment on section (if applicable):

CEB intends to report on the allocation of proceeds through the publication of a Social Inclusion Bond Report on its website on an annual basis until full allocation. Allocation reporting will include a summary of the allocation of proceeds per sector of action and per year of disbursement and, for each sector of action, the distribution of the proceeds by year of disbursement and per country. An independent auditor will review CEB’s allocation of proceeds, which is considered market best practice. In addition, CEB is committed to reporting on relevant impact metrics. Sustainalytics views CEB’s allocation and impact reporting as aligned with market practice.

Use of proceeds reporting:

☐ Project-by-project  ☒ On a project portfolio basis
☐ Linkage to individual bond(s)  ☐ Other (please specify):
Information reported:

☐ Allocated amounts
☐ Social Bond financed share of total investment
☐ Other (please specify):

Frequency:
☒ Annual
☐ Semi-annual
☐ Other (please specify):

Impact reporting:
☒ Project-by-project
☒ On a project portfolio basis
☐ Linkage to individual bond(s)
☐ Other (please specify):

Frequency:
☒ Annual
☐ Semi-annual
☐ Other (please specify):

Information reported (expected or ex-post):
☒ Number of beneficiaries
☒ Target populations
☒ Other ESG indicators (please specify):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector of action</th>
<th>Social impact indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social housing for low-income persons</td>
<td>Number of dwellings (construction/renovation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education and vocational training</td>
<td>Number of schools (construction/renovation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting MSMEs for the creation and preservation of viable jobs</td>
<td>Number of MSMEs, number of jobs preserved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>Number of healthcare facilities upgraded</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Means of Disclosure
☐ Information published in financial report ☒ Information published in sustainability report
☐ Information published in ad hoc documents ☐ Other (please specify): Reporting reviewed (if yes, please specify which parts of the reporting are subject to external review): Allocation reporting

Where appropriate, please specify name and date of publication in the useful links section.

USEFUL LINKS (e.g. to review provider methodology or credentials, to issuer’s documentation, etc.)
https://coebank.org/en/investor-relations/funding/

SPECIFY OTHER EXTERNAL REVIEWS AVAILABLE, IF APPROPRIATE

Type(s) of Review provided:
☐ Consultancy (incl. 2nd opinion) ☐ Certification
☐ Verification / Audit ☐ Rating
☐ Other (please specify):

Review provider(s): Date of publication:

ABOUT ROLE(S) OF REVIEW PROVIDERS AS DEFINED BY THE SBP

i. Second Party Opinion: An institution with social expertise, that is independent from the issuer may issue a Second Party Opinion. The institution should be independent from the issuer’s adviser for its Social Bond framework, or appropriate procedures, such as information barriers, will have been implemented within the institution to ensure the independence of the Second Party Opinion. It normally entails an assessment of the alignment with the Social Bond Principles. In particular, it can include an assessment of the issuer’s overarching objectives, strategy, policy and/or processes relating to social sustainability, and an evaluation of the social features of the type of projects intended for the Use of Proceeds.

ii. Verification: An issuer can obtain independent verification against a designated set of criteria, typically pertaining to business processes and/or social criteria. Verification may focus on alignment with internal or external standards or claims made by the issuer. Also, evaluation of the socially sustainable features of underlying assets may be termed verification and may reference external criteria. Assurance or attestation regarding an issuer’s internal tracking method for use of proceeds, allocation of funds from Social Bond proceeds, statement of social impact or alignment of reporting with the SBP, may also be termed verification.

iii. Certification: An issuer can have its Social Bond or associated Social Bond framework or Use of Proceeds certified against a recognised external social standard or label. A standard or label defines specific criteria, and alignment with such criteria is normally tested by qualified, accredited third parties, which may verify consistency with the certification criteria.

iv. Social Bond Scoring/Rating: An issuer can have its Social Bond, associated Social Bond framework or a key feature such as Use of Proceeds evaluated or assessed by qualified third parties, such as specialized research providers or rating agencies, according to an established scoring/rating methodology. The output may include a focus on social performance data, process relative to the SBP, or another benchmark. Such scoring/rating is distinct from credit ratings, which may nonetheless reflect material social risks.
Disclaimer

© Sustainalytics 2020. All rights reserved.

The intellectual property rights to the information contained herein is vested exclusively in Sustainalytics. No part of this deliverable may be reproduced, disseminated, comingled, used to create derivative works, furnished in any manner, made available to third parties or published, parts hereof or the information contained herein in any form or in any manner, be it electronically, mechanically, through photocopies or recordings without the express written consent of Sustainalytics.

As the information herein is based on information made available by the issuer, the information is provided “as is” and, therefore Sustainalytics does not warrant that the information presented in this deliverable is complete, accurate or up to date, nor assumes any responsibility for errors or omissions and Sustainalytics will not accept any form of liability for the substance of the deliverable and/or any liability for damage arising from the use of this deliverable and/or the information provided in it. Any reference to third party names is for appropriate acknowledgement of their ownership and does not constitute a sponsorship or endorsement by such owner.

Nothing contained in this deliverable shall be construed as to make a representation or warranty on the part of Sustainalytics, express or implied, regarding the advisability to invest in companies, selection of projects or make any kind of business transactions. It shall not be construed as an investment advice (as defined in the applicable jurisdiction), nor be interpreted and construed as an assessment of the issuer’s economic performance, financial obligations nor its creditworthiness.

The issuer is fully responsible for certifying and ensuring the compliance with its commitments, for their implementation and monitoring.
Sustainalytics

Sustainalytics is a leading independent ESG and corporate governance research, ratings and analytics firm that supports investors around the world with the development and implementation of responsible investment strategies. With 13 offices globally, the firm partners with institutional investors who integrate ESG information and assessments into their investment processes. Spanning 30 countries, the world’s leading issuers, from multinational corporations to financial institutions to governments, turn to Sustainalytics for second-party opinions on green and sustainable bond frameworks. Sustainalytics has been certified by the Climate Bonds Standard Board as a verifier organization, and supports various stakeholders in the development and verification of their frameworks. In 2015, Global Capital awarded Sustainalytics “Best SRI or Green Bond Research or Ratings Firm” and in 2018 and 2019, named Sustainalytics the “Most Impressive Second Party Opinion Provider. The firm was recognized as the “Largest External Reviewer” by the Climate Bonds Initiative as well as Environmental Finance in 2018, and in 2019 was named the “Largest Approved Verifier for Certified Climate Bonds” by the Climate Bonds Initiative. In addition, Sustainalytics received a Special Mention Sustainable Finance Award in 2018 from The Research Institute for Environmental Finance Japan and the Minister of the Environment Award in the Japan Green Contributor category of the Japan Green Bond Awards in 2019.
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